

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
(Revised 7/24/2002)

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD


Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board
Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25)
1001 I Street
PO Box 4025
Sacramento CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction Information and Certification <i>All respondents must complete this section.</i>			
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of:			
Jurisdiction Name City of Oroville		County Butte	
Authorized Signature  6/21/05		Title Director of Community Development and Public Works.	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing Eric Teitelman	Date 6/21/05		Phone (530) 538-2507
Person Completing This Form (please print or type) Carl E. Peters		Title Operations Manager, NWSBC	
Phone (530) 533-4783	E-mail Address cpeters@norcalwaste.com		Fax (530) 533-6097
Mailing Address PO Box 1512	City Oroville	State CA	ZIP Code 95965

Section II—Cover Sheet

This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR) requested.

1. Eligibility

Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)?

No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.

Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.

2. Specific Request and Length of Request

Please specify the request desired.

Time Extension Request

Specific years requested 2005

Is this a second request? No Yes Specific years requested. 2005

(Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)

Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (*Not allowed for Regional Agencies*).

Specific ADR requested %, for the years .

Is this a second ADR request? No Yes Specific ADR requested %, for the years

(Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not successful.)

Note: Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the years from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend beyond January 1, 2006.

Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

The City of Oroville has an approximate 44% diversion rate in 2003. The City in great part has achieved the diversion through a franchise MRF operated by Norcal Waste Systems of Butte County (NWSBC). In addition the City has successfully implemented, for the most part, all of its selected SRRE programs. The implementation of fines recovery for ACD and the new curbside recycling program beginning April 2004 were significant highlights of recently. In addition, the purchase of a load leveler for the sort line in the MRF has improved recovery volumes in sorting processes. There have been the following challenges to the 50% diversion rate:

Schools: In 1999, the Oroville School District put their waste streams out for bid effectively removing them from the cost structure of the City Franchise hauler NWSBC. Citing costs, the school system took them out of the recycling loop. The re-introduction of recycling programs sponsored by the City and NWSBC has been completed and implementation is scheduled for 2005.

Construction and demolition. Several large construction projects took place in 2004. Though the C&D ordinance is in effect, haulers are still moving material out of the area instead of mandatory delivery of materials for processing at the MRF. Stiffening of the ordinance and the inclusion of a specific recycling and MRF requirement will be instituted at plan submittal as well as at time of permit issuance. This requirement, as well as increased city staffing and the hiring of a new fulltime code enforcement officer in 2004, will help enforcement of these provisions.

Additional efforts will be focused on enhancing and expanding commercial recycling throughout the city.

2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.

The City of Oroville believes it has shown a good faith effort towards the 50% diversion requirement. An additional extension would allow the City to realize increased diversion as a direct result of implementing the programs listed in the Plan of Correction. The most impact driven programs will be the introduction of the fulltime code enforcement person, the addition of a recycling assistant and the re-introduction of waste audits. Lastly, the City will explore the option of going to a generation based method of diversion calculation in 2005, as well as reconsidering a base year review and possible change.

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

The City of Oroville, working with staff and the franchise hauler NWSBC, has successfully implemented nearly all it's SRRE programs or is in the process of completing this process in 2005. The City found resistance in the school systems. Working with NWSBC, the City will sponsor the re-implementation of the program. The curbside program is fully implemented and running. Not to be satisfied with the recycling program alone, the MRF continues to process the residential garbage as well for additional recyclables. Procurement and re-use programs as well as two-sided copy requirement enhancements have been successfully implemented. Tire events are planned and continued projects such as free Christmas tree collection continue.

The current diversion rate has been achieved primarily through the franchise agreement with NWSBC and the MRF that NWSBC operates. The City is confident that the programs outlined in the plan of correction will help achieve the required improvement.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

Section IIIB—ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need and Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension?

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Provide any relevant information that supports the request.

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %		42	Non-residential %		58
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm					
4060-SP-CAR	Expand	Further increase the amount of material available for processing and increase overall construction recycling by processing inerts such as concrete, metals, plastics as well as wood wastes.	City Funds	12/31/2005 and ongoing	3%
2030-RC-OSP	Expand	Increase participation in commercial recycling programs thru in person visits and waste auditing. This will include but not be limited to white office paper collection, commingled collection and cardboard collection in 2 thru 6yard bins. These services are provided at no additional cost.	Solid waste fees	12/31/2005 and ongoing	2%
2050-RC-SCH	Expand	Re-implementation of school recycling with school-by-school visit, audit and implementation. City and franchise hauler will evaluate school waste streams and recommend and provide services in order to maximize volumes recovered. This will include but not be limited to white office paper collection, commingled collection and cardboard collection in 2 thru 6yard bins. Material will be recovered thru custodial staff and thru classroom competitions on amounts of material collected.	Will be funded by franchise hauler and solid waste rate payers	12/31/2005 and ongoing	1%
Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs					6%
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report					44%
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated					50%

PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED
6020-PI-ORD	Expanded	Permit process for all demolition and construction will require submittal of recycling program and agreement of MRF use, in addition to already existing ordinance requiring delivery to MRF.	12/31/2005 and ongoing

5020-ED-OUT 5010-ED-PRN	Expanded	The addition of a fulltime code enforcement officer will allow aggressive and responsive enforcement of hauling requirements under ordinance requiring material go to MRF. The enforcement officer will be following up on job sites as well as ensuring that they have received ordinance information and hauler contact information.	12/31/2005 and ongoing
5020-ED-OUT	Expanded	Addition of part time recycle assistant to re-implement waste audits to determine addition recovery streams as well as re-use opportunities and evaluation assistance during generation based review and support re-implementation of school recycling programs. Also implement rigorous review and examination of disposal reporting accuracy.	12/31/2005 and ongoing

Section IV B—GOAL ACHIEVEMENT

Goal Achievement describes the activities the jurisdiction will use to achieve the ADR.
Attach additional sheets if necessary..

Residential %			Non-residential %		
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LG/Central/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm					
		Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs			
		Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report			
		Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated			
PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES					
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED		

Section V – PARIS

Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.

Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/.